



Rescue Capital and the Growing Role of Preferred Equity in Real Estate Recapitalizations

Insights • March 23, 2026

Commercial real estate owners facing near-term maturities, refinancing shortfalls, and valuation pressure are increasingly turning to preferred equity rescue capital to bridge capital gaps without a forced sale. In a recapitalization, preferred equity can deliver new money into an existing ownership structure while preserving sponsor control and avoiding a default scenario.

That flexibility comes with tradeoffs. Preferred equity rescue capital deals often reprice the capital stack through preferred returns, distribution priorities, and downside protections. They also introduce (or expand) governance rights for the new investor and can dilute existing ownership economics if performance hurdles are not met. This article highlights the structures and negotiation points that commonly drive outcomes in these transactions.

Why Preferred Equity Is Being Used as Rescue Capital in CRE Recapitalizations

Preferred equity has long been a feature of acquisition financing, but it is increasingly being used as rescue capital in commercial real estate recapitalizations. Rather than funding a new purchase, the preferred equity investment is often designed to stabilize an existing asset that is facing a maturity, [refinancing gap](#), operating deficit, or business plan delay.

Preferred equity rescue capital is most common in the following recapitalization scenarios:

- Refinancing constraints driven by higher rates and reduced valuations
- Maturity shortfalls where the existing loan balance cannot be refinanced at par
- Operating shortfalls from leasing delays, vacancy, or increased expenses
- Additional capital needs for completion, repositioning, or capex programs

Rather than selling the asset at a discount or risking a default under existing loan documents, sponsors are increasingly seeking capital partners willing to invest into the existing ownership

structure. In many cases, preferred equity provides a flexible solution that allows sponsors to retain ownership and continue executing their business plans.

How Preferred Equity Rescue Capital Reshapes the Capital Stack (Returns, Waterfalls, Dilution)

A defining feature of preferred equity rescue capital is how it reorders the capital stack. The preferred equity is typically senior to the existing common equity and is structured to receive priority distributions and contractual protections that are intended to reduce downside risk and improve return certainty.

In most preferred equity rescue capital deals, the investor negotiates:

- A negotiated preferred return on invested capital
- Priority distributions before common equity receives cash flow
- Enhanced economic participation in certain scenarios

Depending on the circumstances of the recapitalization, preferred equity structures may also include additional economic protections, such as:

- Participation in future appreciation through structured waterfalls
- Conversion rights into common equity if certain conditions are triggered
- Dilution of existing ownership interests if performance benchmarks or repayment thresholds are not achieved

Unlike mezzanine debt, preferred equity rescue capital is usually documented inside the ownership structure and often includes more direct governance rights tied to the sponsor's decisions and performance milestones.

These features can significantly alter the economic dynamics of the ownership structure. For existing sponsors and investors, the introduction of rescue capital often means accepting dilution in exchange for preserving the [viability of the asset](#) and avoiding distressed outcomes.

Governance Rights Preferred Equity Rescue Investors Commonly Request

Rescue capital investors frequently seek meaningful [governance rights](#), particularly when investing in assets facing financial stress or operational uncertainty. These rights are designed to provide investors with oversight and protection for their capital.

Typical governance provisions may include:

- Approval rights over major decisions, including refinancings, property sales, or additional financing
- Budget and capital expenditure approvals
- Restrictions on sponsor transfers or changes in control

- [Cash management](#) oversight or reporting requirements
- Control rights triggered by payment defaults or failure to meet performance metrics

The scope, triggers, and remedies attached to these rights are often the most heavily negotiated terms in a rescue capital recapitalization. Sponsors typically focus on preserving day-to-day operating flexibility, while investors focus on clearly defined consent rights, reporting, and step-in protections that activate if the asset underperforms.

Structuring Incentives to Align Sponsors and New Capital

A critical objective in structuring rescue capital investments is ensuring that the interests of the sponsor and the new investor remain aligned. If existing sponsors are excessively diluted or stripped of economic participation, they may lose the incentive to maximize the value of the asset. Conversely, investors require meaningful downside protection and an opportunity to achieve appropriate risk-adjusted returns.

Several structuring techniques are commonly used to maintain alignment between the parties:

- Performance-based promote structures, allowing sponsors to recover a larger share of economic participation if the asset performs above certain thresholds
- Participation waterfalls, enabling both preferred investors and sponsors to share in future upside once preferred returns are satisfied
- Conversion rights, permitting preferred investors to convert their interests into common equity under certain circumstances
- Redemption mechanisms, allowing preferred investors to exit once refinancing or stabilization milestones are achieved

When thoughtfully structured, these mechanisms can create a framework in which both sponsors and investors remain motivated to improve asset performance and ultimately maximize value.

The Growing Role of Preferred Equity in Today's Market

With a significant volume of commercial real estate loans maturing over the next several years and refinancing conditions remaining challenging in many sectors, preferred equity recapitalizations are likely to remain a prominent feature of the real estate capital markets. Rescue capital transactions provide sponsors with a means of stabilizing assets and extending investment horizons, while offering investors opportunities to deploy capital with enhanced return profiles and structural protections.

At the same time, these transactions require careful legal and economic structuring. Issues surrounding capital stack priority, governance rights, dilution mechanics, and incentive alignment must be thoughtfully negotiated to ensure that recapitalizations achieve their intended objectives while preserving long-term alignment among stakeholders.

Key takeaways for sponsors and investors

- Preferred equity rescue capital can fill refinancing and maturity gaps without forcing a sale.
- The economics often hinge on distribution priority, waterfalls, and dilution triggers.
- Governance rights and control triggers should be mapped to real operational decision points.
- Alignment mechanisms matter. Over-dilution can reduce sponsor motivation and weaken outcomes.

For sponsors and investors navigating today's evolving real estate capital markets, preferred equity has emerged as an increasingly important (and often indispensable) tool for bridging capital gaps and repositioning assets for future success.

Disclaimer: *This client insight is for informational purposes only and is not legal advice. It does not guarantee correctness, completeness, or accuracy, and readers should seek professional legal advice before acting on the information. Sending or receiving this alert does not create an attorney-client relationship.*

RELATED ATTORNEYS



Managing Partner

Michael K. Federman

[VIEW BIO](#)



Partner

L. Minnie Bellomo

[VIEW BIO](#)



Partner

Peter J. Mannarino

[VIEW BIO](#)



Partner

Andrew F. Lampert

[VIEW BIO](#)



Counsel

Brett Hoffman

[VIEW BIO](#)



Partner

John Nastasi

[VIEW BIO](#)



Partner

Lee D. Edelson

[VIEW BIO](#)

RELATED PRACTICES

[Joint Ventures and Structured Investments](#)

[Private Equity and Venture Capital](#)

[Real Estate Finance and Structured Finance](#)

[Workouts, Restructurings and Enforcement Actions](#)